

BRIBERY IN TAX AUDIT – AN EXPLORATORY STUDY IN INDONESIA

BY

NURUL RATRININGTYAS

**Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this dissertation in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my dissertation. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my dissertation.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation paper in whole or in part should be addressed to :

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Indonesia adalah satu negara yang sedang membangun yang mempunyai nisbah cukai GDP sekitar 12% dan ini dianggap rendah oleh standard antarabangsa. Nisbah yang rendah ini mungkin disebabkan oleh kekurangan formaliti dan pengelakan cukai termasuk amalan rasuah. Demi menjamin punca pendapatan negara dan meningkatkan pendapatan melalui cukai, badan yang menguruskan cukai perlu melakukan audit cukai yang boleh meminimalkan pengelakan cukai. Salah satu cabaran audit cukai ialah rasuah kerana ia memberi kelebihan kepada juruaudit cukai dan pembayar cukai iaitu kelebihan dari segi ekonomi. Oleh itu, kajian ini menilai faktor-faktor yang menyebabkan berlakunya rasuah di dalam audit cukai, persepsi mereka yang berkepentingan tentang strategi-strategi yang sedia ada dan baru untuk mengurangkan rasuah di dalam audit cukai dan kesan reformasi cukai ke arah pengurangan cukai audit di Indonesia. Kajian kualitatif ini menyelami dua perspektif berbeza iaitu melalui pengalaman juruaudit cukai dan pembayar cukai yang menggunakan temubual sebagai kaedah pengumpulan data. Hasil kajian menunjukkan terdapat beberapa faktor yang menyebabkan rasuah berlaku di dalam audit cukai seperti keuntungan, kuasa yang berlebihan, syarat cukai yang tidak jelas, dapatan awal dan pertemuan secara bersemuka oleh juruaudit cukai dan pembayar cukai. Hasil kajian yang lain adalah seperti cadangan strategi tambahan bagi mengurangkan rasuah iaitu melalui kebenaran tambahan, sistem kira-kira yang kukuh, sistem pemantauan yang dinaiktaraf, penambahan kualiti audit, pelebaran rangkaian cukai, peningkatan nilai moral, pembaikan proses merekrut dan penghasilan dapatan awal yang konsisten. Kajian ilmiah ini membentangkan perspektif yang lebih baik untuk meminimalkan rasuah di dalam proses audit cukai di Indonesia dan memberi maklum balas kepada kerajaan Indonesia untuk meningkatkan syarat yang sedia ada berkaitan strategi pengurangan rasuah.

ABSTRACT

Indonesia as one of the developing countries has a Tax-to-GDP ratio around 12%, which is considered low by international standard. To a large extent, this reflects prevalent lack of formality and tax evasion including bribery which decrease the national tax revenue. To secure government income and to increase tax revenue, tax authorities conduct tax audits which could minimize tax evasions. One of the challenges in tax audit is bribery as within this process there is a mutual benefit between tax auditors and taxpayers, which is economic benefit. Thus this study examines the factors leading to bribery in tax audit, the stakeholders' perceptions on existing and additional new strategies in reducing bribery in tax audit and the impact of tax reform on reducing bribery in tax audit in Indonesia. This is a qualitative study exploring two different perspectives from tax auditors and taxpayers' experience using in-depth interviews as the data collection method. Among the findings, are factors leading to bribery in tax audit which are :benefit, excessive power, ambiguous tax regulation, preliminary findings and direct encounter. Other findings are the suggested additional strategies to reduce bribery through additional sanctions, a reliable accounting system, increasing monitoring system, improving audit quality, expanding the tax coverage, increasing moral consciousness, improving recruitment process and producing consistent tax audit findings. This study presents a better perspective on how to minimize bribery opportunity in tax audit process in Indonesia and gives some feedbacks for Indonesian government to improve the existing regulation regarding the strategies to fight bribery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah Rabbil Alamin...

First and foremost I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Natrah Saad, who had supported me throughout my thesis with her knowledge and guidance . I would also like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Chek Derashid as the examiner and Dr. Noraza Mat Udin as the chairperson during my viva voce session.

I owe a very important debt to my colleague, Rudy Arnanda for his effort in facilitating the interview session with the participants, also my colleague, Shafawaty in UUM for her effort in helping me to complete the report and also to all my friends in Perlis for their kindness and hospitality. I would like to thank all the participants who were willing to spend their time and give valuable insights for this research.

Special thanks to my husband, Topan Suhanto for his continuous moral and financial support during my masters study in UUM, my parents and my mother in law for the continuous prayers and lastly to my lovely children. This thesis is dedicated for you, Anistya Pratista Rahma and Ramadhan Arkan Paramandana.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORK.....	II
PERMISSION TO USE.....	III
ABSTRAK.....	IV
ABSTRACT.....	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	VI
LIST OF ABBREVIATION.....	XIII
CHAPTER 1	1
1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	5
1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	7
1.4 OBJECTIVE OF STUDY.....	7
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY.....	7
1.6 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	8
1.7 ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY	9
CHAPTER 2	10
2.1 INTRODUCTION	10
2.2 THE IMPORTANCE OF TAX.....	10
2.3 TAX- TO -GDP RATIO	11
2.4 TAX AUDIT.....	12
2.4.1 Definition of Tax Audit.....	12
2.4.2 The Importance of Tax Audit.....	13
2.4.3 Tax Audit in Indonesia.....	16
2.5 BRIBERY	18
2.5.1 Bribery and Corruption in Indonesia.....	19

2.6 BRIBERY AND TAXATION	20
2.6.1 Reasons for Bribery Occurances in the Tax Authority	21
2.6.2 Bribery and Tax Evasion.....	22
2.6.3 Theoretical Framework in Bribery Study	23
2.6.4 Consequences of Tax Corruption.....	25
2.7 STRATEGIES IN CURBING BRIBERY IN TAXATION	28
2.7.1 Strategies Based On Demand And Supply Of Bribery	28
2.7.1.1 Simplification of regulation	29
2.7.1.2 Increasing the salary in public sectors.....	30
2.7.1.3 Penalty system	30
2.7.1.4 Institute effective control	31
2.7.2 Pillars Of Integrity	32
2.7.2.1 Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)	33
2.7.2.2 Parliaments and SAI	34
2.7.2.3 The media.....	35
2.7.2.4 Tax reform	35
2.7.2.5 Legal enforcement.....	37
2.7.2.6 Public awareness through whistle-blowing policy.....	38
2.7.2.7 Grassroots monitoring.....	39
2.8 SUMMARY	40
CHAPTER 3	41
3.1 INTRODUCTION	41
3.2 DATA COLLECTION	41
3.3 UNIT OF ANALYSIS	42
3.4 DATA ANALYSIS.....	44
3.5 SUMMARY	44

CHAPTER 4	45
4.2 TAX AUDITOR’S PERSPECTIVE	45
4.2.1 Tax Audit Experience & Bribery Cases	45
4.2.1.1 Tax audit experience	45
4.2.1.2 Bribery cases	48
4.2.2 Factors Contributing Bribery In Tax Audit	50
4.2.2.1 Mutual benefit	50
4.2.2.2 Moral consciousness	52
4.2.2.3 Tax auditor’s excessive power	53
4.2.2.4 Ambiguous tax regulation	55
4.2.2.5 Preliminary findings	57
4.2.2.6 Direct encounter between tax auditor and taxpayer	58
4.2.2.7 Asymmetric of information	59
4.2.2.8 Why briberies still exist?	60
4.2.3 Perceptions On Existing Strategies In Combating Bribery In Tax Audit	61
4.2.3.1 Whistle-blowing	61
4.2.3.2 Tax education and campaign	63
4.2.3.3 Sanction and deterrent effect	64
4.2.3.4 Quality assurance team	66
4.2.3.5 Internal control	67
4.2.4 Perceptions On Regulatory’s Role	68
4.2.4.1 Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK)	68
4.2.4.2 Supreme Audit Institution (BPK)	70
4.2.5 Suggested Additional Strategy	71
4.2.5.1 Improve tax audit quality	71
4.2.5.2 Additional sanction	72

4.2.5.3 Integrity	73
4.2.5.4 Reliable accounting system.....	74
4.2.5.5 Broader tax coverage	75
4.2.5.6 Monitoring system	76
4.2.6 Comparison Before And After Tax Reform.....	79
4.3 TAXPAYER’S PERSPECTIVE.....	81
4.3.1 Tax Audit Experience And Bribery Cases	81
4.3.1.1 Tax audit experience	81
4.3.1.2 Bribery cases	84
4.3.2 Factors Contributing To Bribery In Tax Audit	85
4.3.2.1 Mutual benefit	85
4.3.2.2 Recruitment process	87
4.3.2.3 Tax audit target	87
4.3.2.4 Cost benefit consideration.....	88
4.3.2.5 Excessive penalty	88
4.3.2.6 Ambiguous tax regulations	89
4.3.2.7 Excessive tax officer’s power	91
4.3.2.8 Documentation collection process	92
4.3.2.9 Direct encounter between tax auditors and taxpayers.....	93
4.3.2.10 Manual tax audit process.....	93
4.3.2.11 Preliminary findings.....	94
4.3.2.12 Opportunity, culture and ambition	95
4.3.3 Perceptions On Existing Strategies In Combating Bribery	96
4.3.3.1 Whistle-blowing.....	96
4.3.3.2 Sanction and deterrent effect.....	98
4.3.3.3 Internal control.....	98

4.3.3.4 Increasing tax officer's salary	100
4.3.4 Perception On Regulatory's Role	101
4.3.4.1 Corruption Eradication Comission (KPK).....	101
4.3.5 Suggested Additional Strategy.....	102
4.3.5.1 Increase moral consciousness	102
4.3.5.2 Recruitment process.....	103
4.3.5.3 Consistent tax audit findings.....	104
4.3.5.4 Increase taxpayer and tax auditor knowledge	105
4.3.5.5 Additional sanction	105
4.3.5.6 Integrated data access.....	106
4.3.5.7 Reliable accounting system.....	106
4.3.5.8 Other stakeholders.....	107
4.3.6. Comparison Before And After Tax Reform.....	108
4.4 SUMMARY	111
CHAPTER 5	112
5.1 INTRODUCTION	112
5.2 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	112
5.2.1 Tax Audit Experience and Bribery Case.....	112
5.2.1.1 Tax audit experience	112
5.2.1.2 Bribery cases	114
5.2.2 Factors Contributing Bribery In Tax Audit.....	114
5.2.3 Perceptions On Existing Strategies In Combating Bribery	115
5.2.4 Perceptions On Regulatory's Role.....	117
5.2.5 Suggested Additional Strategies To Curb Bribery In Tax Audit	117
5.2.6 Comparison Before And After Tax Reform.....	119
5.3. CONCLUSION.....	120

5.4 RECOMMENDATION	121
REFFERENCES	122
Appendix A : Interview Guidelines	132

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

BPK	: Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan
CPI	: Corruption Perception Index
DGT	: Directorate General of Taxation
DPR	: Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat
FCPA	: Foreign Corrupt Practice Act
GDP	: Gross Domestic Product.
IMF	: International Monetary Fund
IRB	: Inland Revenue Board
ISO	: International Standard Organization
KADIN	: Kamar Dagang Indonesia
KITSDA	: Kepatuhan Internal dan Transformasi Sumber Daya Aparatur
KPK	: Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi
KPMG – SSH	: Klynveld Peat Main Goerdeler – Siddharta Siddharta Harsono
MNC	: Multi National Company
OECD	: Organization fo Economic Cooperation and Development
P-A-C	: Principal, Agent, Client
PER Taxation)	: Peraturan Direktur Jendral Pajak (Regulation of Directorate General of Taxation)
PMK	: Peraturan Menteri Keuangan (Ministry of Finance Decree)
RTA	: Relevant Tax Authority
SAI	: Supreme Audit Institution
SARBOX	: Sarbannes Oaxley

SE	: Surat Edaran (Circular Letter)
SME	: Small and Medium Enterprise
SPHP	: Surat Pemberitahuan Hasil Pemeriksaan
TI	: Transparency International
TPK	: Tindak Pidana Korupsi
VAT	: Value Added Tax

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

According to the Statistic Indonesia, the government's revenue from taxation in Indonesia is IDR 1,019,333 billion compared to the non taxation revenue which is IDR 272,720 billion ("Actual Government Revenue", 2013). The revenue from taxation in Indonesia is significant, about 79% from the total government revenue in 2012, which shows the importance of taxation as a source of income for the government. The decline in mining and export sectors forced the Indonesian government to revise its 2013 state budget on tax revenue to IDR 1,139.3 trillion which was originally IDR 1, 193 trillion ("Indonesia's Government", 2013).

Although the revenue from taxation in Indonesia is significant, the tax to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) ratio in Indonesia is still below 12% (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [OECD], 2012). This is considered low by international standard and is expected to increase to 12.11 % in 2013.

The definition of tax-to-GDP ratio is the total government tax collection divided by the country's GDP. According to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), a tax revenue equivalent to 15% of GDP is a "reasonable" minimum level for low-income countries to ensure the financing of basic government tasks such as law and order, health, and education (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2005). The average national revenue from tax in low-income countries was approximately 13% of the GDP in 2000 (Baunsgaard & Keen 2005), less than half of the OECD average of 34% (OECD, 2011).

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Abed, G.T. and Gupta, S. (2002). *Governance, Corruption, and Economic Performance*. (Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund).
- Actual Government Revenues (Billions Rupiahs), 2007-2013. (2013). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from http://www.bps.go.id/eng/tab_sub/view.php?kat=2&tabel=1&daftar=1&id_subye k=13¬ab=1
- Acconcia, A., D'amato, M. & Martina, R. (2003). *Corruption and tax evasion with competitive bribes*. Centre for Studies in Economics and Finance Working Paper no.112. University of Salerno, Italy.
- Addison, T., Levin, J. (n.d). *The Determinants of Tax Revenue in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from http://www.ne.su.se/polopoly_fs/1.99284.1346412861!/menu/standard/file/jorgen_levin.pdf.
- Agustiadi, M.T. (2007). *Manfaat Penerapan Pakta Integritas*. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://agustiyadi.blogspot.com/2007/10/manfaat-penerapan-pakta-integritas.html>.
- Allingham, M. G. and Agnar Sandmo (1972). *Income Tax Evasion: A Theoretical Analysis*. *Journal of Public Economics*, 1(3/4), 323-338.
- Alm, J & McKee, M. (2004). *Tax compliance as a coordination game*. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, vol.54, pp.297-312.
- Alm, J. (1993). *Tax Compliance and Administration*. Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University.
- Amundsen, I. (2006). *Political corruption*. U4 Issue 6, Chr. Michelsen Institute (CMI), Bergen
- Aritonang, D.R. (2013), "Kasasi Ditolak, Hukuman Gayus 30 Tahun Penjara". Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://nasional.kompas.com/read/2013/08/02/1216242/Kasasi.Ditolak.Total.Hukuman.Gayus.30.Tahun.Penjara>.
- Azam, J.P. Gauthier, B. Goyette, J . (2012). *Taxes and bribery: the role of monitoring, bargaining power and red tape*. Groupe de Recherche en Économie et Développement International.
- Badara, M.S .(2012). *The Effect of Tax Audit on Tax Compliance in Nigeria (A Study of Bauchi State Board of Internal Revenue)*. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, Vol 3, No 4, 2012

- Bakre, O.M. (2007), Money laundering and trans-organised crime in Nigeria: collaboration of local and foreign capitalist elites. School of Accounting, Finance and Management Working Paper 07/03, University of Essex, Colchester
- Bardhan, P. (1997). Corruption and development: a review of the issues. *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 1320-46.
- Baunsgaard, T. & M. Keen. 2005. 'Tax revenue and (or?) trade liberalization.' IMF Working Paper WP/05/112 <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2005/wp05112>
- Basu, K., Bhattacharya, S., Mishra, A. (1992). Notes on bribery and the control of corruption. *Journal of Public Economics* 48, 349–359.
- Becker, G. S. (1968). Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach. *Journal of Political Economy*, 76(2) (March/April), pp. 169-217
- Becker, G.S., and Stigler, G. J. (1974). Law Enforcement, Malfeasance, and Compensation of Enforcers. *J. Legal Studies* 3 (January): 1–18.
- Besley, Timothy and John McLaren (1993). Taxes and Bribery: The Role of Wage Incentives. *The Economic Journal* 103 (416), 119-141.
- Bird, R.M. (2004). Administrative Dimensions of Tax Reforms. *Asia Pacific Tax Bulletin*.
- Borge, M. (1999). The role of supreme audit institutions (SAIs) in combating corruption. School of Advanced International Studies. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from www.transparency.org/iacc/9th_iacc/papers/day4/ws2/d4ws2_mborge.html
- Bowles, Roger (1999), Tax Policy, Tax Evasion and Corruption in Economies in Transition, 67-86, in: Feige, Edgar L. and Katarina Ott (eds), *Underground Economies in Transition - Unrecorded Activity, Tax Evasion, Corruption and Organized Crime*, Ashgate.
- Braun, V., Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3 (2): 83.
- Bribery. (n. d). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/bribery>.
- Byrnes, N. (2012). Wall-Mart auditor unlikely to suffer in bribery cases. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://blogs.reuters.com/taxbreak/2012/05/04/wal-mart-auditor-unlikely-to-suffer-in-bribery-case/>
- Chand, S. K., & Moene, K. O. (1999). Controlling fiscal corruption. *World Development*, 27(7), 1129–1140.
- Chander, Parkash and Louis Wilde (1992). Corruption in Tax Administration. *Journal of Public Economics* 49(3), 333-349.

- Christian Aid. (2008). Death and taxes. The true toll of tax dodging. Report from Christian Aid (May).
- Chu, C. Y. Cyrus (1990). A Model of Income Tax Evasion with Venal Tax Officials: The Case of Taiwan. *Public Finance/ Finances Publiques* 45(3), 392-408.
- Circular Letter of Directorate General of Taxation no. SE - 11/PJ/2012 on Whistleblowing. Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia.
- Cobham, A. (2005). Tax evasion, tax avoidance and development finance. QEH Working Paper Series – QEHWPS129. Working Paper Number 129
- Coburn, N. F. (2006). Corporate investigations. *Journal of Financial Crime*, Vol. 13 Iss: 3 pp. 348 -368.
- Conning the Congo. (2013). Greenpeace. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/en/publications/reports/conning-the-congo/>
- Corruption Perception Index 2012 Brochure. (n.d). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.transparency.org/cpi2012/results>
- Das-Gupta, A. and Gang, I.N. (1996). Value added tax evasion, auditing and transactions matching. Social Science Research Network Electronic Library, working paper series, July, Rutgers University WP 96-07.
- Dasgupta, A., & Mookherjee, D. (1998). Incentive and institutional reform in tax enforcement: An analysis of developing country experience. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Denscombe, M. (2011). *The Good Research Guide for Small-Scale Social Research Project*. Mc Graw Hill Companies, 4th edition.
- Dos Santos, P.S., (1995). Corruption in Tax Administration. Presented at the Twenty-Ninth Annual Assembly of the Inter-American Center of Tax Administrators (CIAT), Lima, Peru, March 29, 1995.
- Dubin, JA (2007). Criminal investigation enforcement activities and taxpayer noncompliance. *Public Finance Review*, vol.35, pp.500-529.
- Dye, M.K. and Stapenhurst, R. (1998). *Pillars of Integrity: The Importance of Supreme Audit Institutions in Curbing Corruption*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Dye, K. M. (2007). Corruption and Fraud Detection by Supreme Audit Institutions. in *Performance Accountability And Combating Corruption*, World Bank.
- Erard, B. (1994). Honesty and Evasion in the Compliance Game. *The RAND Journal of Economics* 25(1): 1-19.
- Escobari, D. (2011). Imperfect Detection of Tax Evasion in a Corrupt Tax Administration. MPRA Paper No. 39198.

- Fjeldstad, O.-H.(1996). Tax evasion and corruption in local governments in Tanzania: Alternative economic approaches. Working Paper Chr. Michelsen Institute, Development Studies and Human Rights.
- Fjeldstad, O.-H. & B. Tungodden. (2003). Fiscal corruption: a vice or a virtue? *World Development* , 31(8): 1459-67.
- Forum on Tax Administration's Compliance Sub Group. (2006). Strengthening Tax Audit Capabilities: General Principles and Approaches. Center for Tax Policy and Administration, OECD. Retrieved April, 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/tax/administration/37589900.pdf>
- Friedman, E., Johnson, S. H, Kaufmann, D., and Zoido, P. (1999). Dodging the Grabbing Hand: The Determinants of Unofficial Activity in 69 Countries. The Nobel Symposium in Economics – The Economics of Transition, Stockholm, Social Science Research Network, Sept. 1999.
- Galtung, F. (1995). Current strategies for combating corruption: A study of corruption in the tax administration. Occasional Working Paper 8:95. Berlin: Transparency International.
- Goerke, L. (2008). Bureaucratic corruption and profit tax evasion. *Economics of Governance* 9: 177-96.
- Gould, D. and Amaro-Reyes, J. (1983). The effects of corruption on administrative performance: illustrations from developing countries. World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 580, Washington D.C., The World Bank.
- Government Must Prioritize The Fight Against Corruption. (n.d). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.transparency.org/cpi2012/results>
- Grampert, M. (2002), Tax audit. Swedish National Tax Board. Retrieved April 30, 2013 available at www.worldbank.org.
- Guest, G., MacQueen, N. (2012). Introduction to Thematic Analysis. *Applied Thematic Analysis*
- Haryanto, U., Rahman, A. (2011). “Gayus Is Not a Unique Case”. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/archive/gayus-not-a-unique-case>.
- Hindriks, J., Keen, M and Muthoo, A. (1999). Corruption, Extortion, and Evasion, *Journal of Public Economics* 74(3), 395-430.
- Hirshleifer, J. and J.G. Riley. 1992. The analytics of uncertainty and information. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Huther, J., & Shah, A. (2000). Anti-corruption policies and programs: a framework for evaluation. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2501. Washington, DC: The World Bank

- Imam, A. I., Jacobs, D.F. (2007). Effect of Corruption on Tax Revenues in the Middle East. IMF Working Paper, WP/07/270.
- International Whistle-Blower (n.d). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <https://www.internationalwhistleblowers.com/component/content/article/174/800-definitions->
- IMF (2005). Monetary and fiscal policy design issues in low-income countries. Washington DC: IMF.
- Indonesia's Government Revises Down Tax Revenue Target of 2013. (2013). Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.indonesia-investments.com/finance/financial-columns/indonesias-government-revises-down-tax-revenue-target-of-2013/item762>.
- Inland Revenue Board . (2007).Tax Audit Framework
- J-Intersect Research Review: Improving Tax Collection, Research Review: #200500013; January 2005.
- Jong, H.N. (2013). “Two Corrupt Tax Officials Face Time Behind Bars”. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2013/12/18/two-corrupt-tax-officials-face-time-behind-bars.html>.
- Joulfaian, David (2000). Corporate Income Tax Evasion and Managerial Preferences. Review of Economics and Statistics 82(4), November, 698-701.
- Kasus Perpajakan Terus Bertambah.(2012). Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.suarakarya-online.com/news.html?id=312750>
- Kayrak, M. (2008). Evolving challenges for supreme audit institutions in struggling with corruption. Journal of Financial Crime, Vol. 15 Iss: 1 pp. 60 – 70.
- Kaufmann, D. (1997). Corruption: the facts., pp. 114-31. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from www.Jstor.org/
- Klitgaard, R. (1988), Controlling Corruption. University of California, Berkeley, CA.
- Klitgaard, R.E. (1991). Gifts and bribes. In: Richard Zeckhauser ed., Strategy and choice, Cambridge, MA, MIT press.
- KPK. (2012). Laporan Tahunan 2012. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.kpk.go.id/id/publikasi/laporan-tahunan/955-laporan-tahunan-kpk-2012>.
- Kreps, David M. (1990). A course In microeconomic theory. London/New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

- Lindauer, D., Meesook, O. and Suebsaeng, P. (1988). Government wage policy in Africa: some findings and policy issues. *World Bank Research Observer*, vol. 3, no. i, pp. 1
- Low, P. (1995). Pre-shipment inspection services. Washington D.C. World Bank Discussion Paper 278.
- Maharani, D. (2013). Hukuman Dhana Widyatmika Diperberat Menjadi 10 Tahun. Retrieved Jan 4, 2013 from <http://nasional.kompas.com/read/2013/04/22/21431257/Hukuman.Dhana.Widyatmika.Diperberat.Jadi.10.Tahun>
- Mauro, P. (1995). Corruption and growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 110 No. 3, pp. 681-712.
- McLeod, R.H. (2000). Soeharto's Indonesia: A Better Class of Corruption. *Agenda* 7, no. 2: 92-112.
- Mookerjee, Dilip. (1995). Reforms in Income Tax Enforcement in Mexico. India Working Paper 6, IRIS Center, University of Maryland, College Park.
- Minister of Finance Decree No. 82/PMK.03/2011 on Amendment on Minister of Finance Decree No. 199/PMK.03/2007 on Tax Audit Procedure. Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia.
- Minister of Finance Decree No. 17/PMK.03/2013 on Tax Audit Procedure. Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia.
- Mookherjee, D. (1997). Incentive reforms in developing country bureaucracies. Lessons from tax administration. Paper prepared for the Annual Bank conference on development economics, Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Myrdal, G. (1968). *Asian Drama: An inquiry into the poverty of nations*, London, Allen Lane the Penguin Press.
- OECD. (2007). Bribery in Public Procurement, Methods, Actors And Counter-Measures. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/investment/anti-bribery/anti-briberyconvention/44956834.pdf>
- OECD. (2008). Taxation, State Building and Aid. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/dac/governance-development/40456396.pdf>
- OECD. (2009). Bribery Awareness Handbook for Tax Examiners. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/tax/crime/37131825.pdf>
- OECD. (2011). Revenue Statistics tax to GDP ratio changes to 2011. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/ctp/tax-policy/revenue-statistics-ratio-change-previous-year.htm>.

- OECD. (2012). Economic Surveys Indonesia. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.oecd.org/eco/surveys/2012%20Indonesia%20Overview.pdf>
- OECD. (2013). Revenue Statistic and Policy Challenges in Asia. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.oecd.org/dev/asia-pacific/REVENUE%20STATISTICS%20Asia.pdf>.
- O'Leary, Z. (2010). The Essential Guide to Doing Your Research Project. Sage Publication
- Olken, B.A. (2007). Monitoring Corruption: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Indonesia. *Journal of Political Economy* . vol. 115, no. 2.
- Otusanya, O.J. (2010). An investigation of tax evasion, tax avoidance and corruption in Nigeria, unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Essex, Colchester.
- Otusanya, O. J. (2011). Corruption as an obstacle to development in developing countries: a review of literature. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 387-422
- Pacini, C., Swingen, J., Rogers, H. (2002), The OECD Convention and bribery in international business transactions : implications for auditors. *Managerial Auditig Journal*, Vol. 17 Iss : 4 pp. 205 – 215
- Palmier, L. (1983). Bureaucratic corruption and its remedies. In *Corruption: Causes, Consequences and Control*. (ed. Michael Clark), New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Pashev, Konstantin. (2005). Corruption and Tax Compliance: Challenges to Tax Policy and Administration. Centre for the Study of Democracy, Sofia, Bulgaria.
- Purohit, M. C. (2007). Corruption in Tax Administration. in *Performance Accountability And Combating Corruption*, World Bank.
- Pedersen, P. E (2008). The fight against corruption by multilateral development banks – a different perspective in *The fight against corruption: international organizations at a cross-roads*. *Journal of Financial Crime*, Vol. 15 Iss: 2 pp. 124 – 154.
- Penanganan TPB Berdasarkan Jenis Perkara. (2013). Anti Corruption Clearing House. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://acch.kpk.go.id/statistik-penanganan-tindak-pidana-korupsi-berdasarkan-jenis-perkara>
- Perry, M. (2000). Auditing Integrated Financial Management Systems. International Management Consultants Limited, Andover.
- Punch, K.F. (1998). Introduction to Social Research: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Rai, I.G.A. (2008). The Role of Indonesia's Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) in Improving Good National Governance and Control, presented in 'Accounting at the Top 2008 Conference' Darwin – Australia.

- Rahman, A. (2009). Tackling Corruption through Tax Administration Reform. Investment Climate in Practice.
- Regulation of Directorate General of Taxation no. PER-22/PJ/2011 on Whistleblowing, Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia.
- Rose-Ackerman, S. (1978). Corruption: A Study in Political Economy. Academic Press, London.
- Sanyal, A, Gang, I.N and Goswami, O. (2000). Corruption, Tax Evasion and the Laffer Curve. Public Choice 105(1-2), 61-78.
- Santangelo, B., Stein, G., Jacobs, M. (2007). The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: recent cases and enforcement trends. Journal of Investment Compliance, Vol. 8 Iss: 3 pp. 31 – 55.
- Sargeant, J. (2012). Qualitative Research Part II: Participants, Analysis, and Quality Assurance. Journal of Graduate Medical Education, March 2012. Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.jgme.org/doi/pdf/10.4300/JGME-D-11-00307.1>.
- Selayang Pandang. (2012). Retrieved Jan 4, 2014 from <http://www.pajak.go.id/content/selayang-pandang>
- Shome, Parthasarathi, S. Mukhopadhyay, and H. N. Saleem. (1997). Modvat Administration. In Value Added Tax in India: A Progress Report, ed. Parthasarathi Shome. New Delhi: Centax Publications.
- Sikka, P. (2008). Enterprise culture and accountancy firms: the new master of universe. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 268-95.
- Slemrod, J. (2000). Why People Pay Taxes: Introduction in Slemrod, Joel (ed.), Why People Pay. Taxes: Tax Compliance and Enforcement. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press, 1-8.
- Slemrod, J., M. Blumenthal, and C. Christian. (2001). Taxpayer response to an increased probability of audit: Evidence from a controlled experiment in Minnesota. Journal of Public Economics 79: 455–483.
- Soesastro, M., Simandjuntak, D. and Silalahi, P. (1988). Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia. Economics Office, Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- Stotsky, J.G. & A. WoldeMariam. (1997). Tax effort in Sub-Saharan Africa. IMF Working Paper 97/107, Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund
- Suhardjito. (n.d). Reformasi Perpajakan Dalam Rangka Peningkatan Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak, Tata Kelola Yang Baik Serta Kemandirian Bangsa. Forum Manajemen, Vol. 13 No. 3.

- Tanzi, V. (1998). Corruption around the world: causes, consequences, scope and cures. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://idari.cu.edu.tr/igunes/butce/makalebutce29.pdf>.
- Tanzi, V and Davoodi, H.R. (1997). Corruption, Public Investment, and Growth. International Monetary Fund
- Tanzi, V and Davoodi, H.R. (2001). Corruption, Growth, and Public Finances, 89-110. in: Arvind K. Jain (ed.), Political Economy of Corruption, Routledge.
- Tax-to-GDP Ratio. (n.d). In Investopedia. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/tax-to-gdp-ratio.asp>
- Thorp, R. (1996). Tax Administration in Peru. in A. Silva (ed) "Implementing Policy Innovation in Latin America : Politics, Economics and Technique, Social Agenda Policy Group, Inter American Development Bank, Washington DC.
- Toye, J and Moore, M. (1998). Taxation, Corruption and Reform. The European Journal of Development Research (1998) 10, 60–84.
- Treisman,D.(2000). The Causes of Corruption: A Cross-National Study. Journal of Public Economics 76: 399–457.
- Tranparency International. (2007). Report on the Transparency International Global Corruption Barometer. Retreived April 30, 2013 from http://archive.transparency.org/policy_research/surveys_indices/gcb/2007
- Ul Haque, N., Sahay, R. (1996). Do government wage cuts close budget deficits? Costs of corruption. IMF Staff Papers 43, 754–778.
- Vasin, A., Panova, E. (2000). Tax Collection and Corruption in Fiscal Bodies. Economics Education and Research Consortium, Working Paper No 99/10
- Wei, Shang-Jin. (2000). How Taxing is Corruption on International Investors? Review of Economics and Statistics. 82 (1): 1-11.
- Why Tax Matters for International Development. (2009), Briefing Note Department For International Development. Retrieved April 30, 2013 from <http://somo.nl/files/extern/ttd/why-tax-matters-for-international-development>.
- World Bank. (2004). Making Services Work for Poor People. World Development Report 2004. Washington, DC: World Bank. World Bank. 2004.
- World Bank. (2012). Fighting Corruption in Public Services Chronicling Georgia's Reforms. Retrieved Apr 30, 2013 from http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSPContentServer/WDSP/IB/2012/01/20/000356161_20120120010932/Rendered/PDF/664490PUB0EPI0065774B09780821394755.pdf
- Yongzhi, N. (2010). Tax audit impact on voluntary compliance. MPRA Paper No. 22651